# JURY SELECTION AND CHARGING ISSUES IN CRIMINAL CASES

BY MICHELLE TOWNSEND

#### JURY SELECTION

"The voir dire process is designed to insure, to the fullest extent possible, that an intelligent, alert, disinterested, impartial, and truthful jury will perform the duty assigned to it."

Armstrong v. State, 897 S.W.2d 361, 363 (Tex. Crim. App. 1995) (per curiam).

- Shuffling the Panel
- Questioning the Panel
- Striking Prospective Jurors

#### **Striking Prospective Jurors: Challenges for Cause**

- Grounds for Cause: Tex. Code Crim. Proc. Ann. art. 35.16
- Article 35.16(a)(2), (3), & (4) are absolute disqualifiers
- All other grounds are forfeitable

#### Striking Prospective Jurors: Denial of Challenges for Cause

- 1. identify the juror with specificity;
- 2. use every peremptory strike;
- 3. ask for additional peremptory strikes; and
- 4. identify the objectionable juror
- 5. before the panel is sworn.

#### **Striking Prospective Jurors: Peremptory Challenges**

- A strike for any reason
- within the parameters of the Equal Protection Clause
  - Batson v. Kentucky = race
  - J.E.B. v. Alabama = gender

#### Peremptory Challenges & Equal Protection Clause Objections

- Prima facie showing of purposeful discrimination;
- Race- or gender-neutral explanation;
- Trial court decides whether purposeful discrimination shown.

#### **General Suggestions**

- Educate
- Listen
- Record answers
- Have a problem with that?

#### JURY INSTRUCTION

The trial judge shall deliver to the jury a written charge distinctly setting forth the law applicable to the case.

TEX. CODE CRIM. PROC. ANN. art. 36.14

Instructions that should be present in the charge:

- Abstract
- Application

Based on:

- Type of Offense
- Evidence Admitted

Instructions that cannot be present in the charge ...

- opinions on evidentiary weight,
- summation of testimony,
- discussion of facts, or
- argument ...

- ... Except for when they can be:
- 1. Law directs a certain degree of weight or significance
- 2. Legislature expressly requires attention called
- 3. Admissibility contingent on predicate facts decided by jury\*

3. \*Admissibility contingent on predicate facts decided by jury

Example: Voluntariness of Statement

General voluntariness instruction

General warnings instruction

"Specific" Exclusionary-rule instruction

#### Lesser-Included Offenses

- Two-prong test
- Requests by Defense

Williams v. State, No. PD-0477-19, 2021 WL 2132167, (Tex. Crim. App. May 26, 2021)

Requests by Prosecution

Defenses,

**Affirmative Defenses,** 

& Non-Defenses

Mistake of Fact Entrapment

Insanity Duress

Mistake of Law Intoxication

**Justifications** 

Public duty

**Protection of Property** 

Necessity

Self-defense

"Others"

#### **Article 38.23 Instructions**

#### Required when:

- 1. The evidence raises an *issue of fact*;
- 2. that is affirmatively contested; and
- 3. material to the lawfulness of obtaining the evidence.

#### **Appropriate Instructions on Defensive Issues**

- Found in the Penal Code, derived from Code
- Typically, "confession and avoidance"
- Credibility of evidence raising the defense not an issue

#### Instructing on Multiple Defensive Issues

- Each viewed independently
- Every issue raised by the evidence